Interview Summary	09/400,865	
	Examiner	Ar
	Hoa Q. Pham	

Application No.

09/400,865

Canini et al.

Examiner

Art Unit

	Hoa Q. Pham	2877	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) Primary Examiner Hoa Q. Pham	(3) Examiner Sang Nguyen		
(2) Mr. H. Warren Burnam Jr. (Reg# 29,366)	•		
Date of Interview Mar 13, 2003	_		
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1] ☐ applicant	2) X applicant's representative	I	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes			
Claim(s) discussed: 1			
Identification of prior art discussed: Plesko (6,233,098)			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached substance of Interview including description of the general any other comments: The interpretation of the claims and references has been of the claims.	I nature of what was agreed to if	an agreement was reach	
10A and 10B of Plesko read on the claimed invention. A s			
·		 	
			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amen allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separation of the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORM INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See Minerally been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FR SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Reco	copy of the amendments that wo mate record of the substance of the MAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAS PEP section 713.04). If a reply to OM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO F	nuld render the claims allowed interview (if box is che interview (if b	owable is ecked). ST as THE
	17	HOA Q. PHA PRIMARY EXAI	
	Carlly My	ART UNIT 28	877
	a MU W	to Wes-	

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Exammer's signature, if required